600 816 Ele 0-9230

Executive

OGC Has Reviewed

1 March 1960

Logal Staff

25X1A

Payment of Per Diem -

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

l. In brief restatement of the facts as we understand them: Mr.

i, who is not an American citizen, was recruited in

for service in As submitted to the Project Review Committee
and ultimately approved by the Acting Director of GIA, per dism was
provided for American citizens, but the Project was silent on per diem
for foreign nationals. Since Subject was the only foreign national
that received a per diem, there are obvious morals factors implicit
in the situation. The problem, as presented, is whether collection
action should be taken or waived, and whether further action is required from the Projects Review Committee and the Director.

2. In the absence of a clear prohibition in the Project submitted to the Committee as ultimately approved by the Acting Director, we do not believe that the officer issuing the travel orders exceeded his authority. While it was probably not within the broad administrative intention to allow per diem for the alien nationals involved in the Project, his act was not ultra vires. Orders were issued under the delegation to the Chist, Fiscal Branch from the DCI, dated 1 January 1949 (see S D) in conformance with those Agency regulations in force at the time (see January 1949) and the standardized Government Travel Regulations. The authorisation of per diem was therefore permissible, and we do not believe that collection can legally be enforced against the employee, or that the officer issuing the travel orders is personally liable.

3. We believe it would be advisable to request the Project Review Committee to determine whether payment of per diem was in excess of the total allocation for the Project, and whether a restatement of the acministrative intention regarding allowance of per diem should be made to the officer issuing travel orders.

25X1A

Attach 3
Memo dtd 7 Feb 1950 to Executive
Memo dtd 30 Jan 1950 to DCI
Cpy of ltr dtd 11 Jan 1950 to

25X1A

25X1A

oc: Subject Chrono

LeApproved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070233-4

Approved For Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070233-4

theraumder. (33 Comp. Gen. 713, 22 Harch 1944.)

entered upon a bone fide travel status at the time he entered on duty with the ergenization. The facts disclose that he was employed effective I Townber 1946. However, he had been in Weshington, DaCa since misself the was that he mas or his honograph and also exploring possibilities for future employment. He had been married on 26 June 1946 in Idaho Tella, Idaho. While this effice is of the opinion that the fact upon exployment in Tachington. The fact remains that he was physically present in Tachington at the time of appointment and it is difficult to see how this effice could determine that he had sintered upon a bone fice travel status upon his appointment when he did not orform my travel. (22 Cong. Con. 342, 13 October 1942.)

6. Also to be considered in commection with this claim is the quantion of availability of funds. Since the period covered is entirely in the fiscal year 1946, appropriations available for that fiscal year are now lapsed.

7. In view of the above rulings and the facts presented to this office, we are unable to say that claim is lagale densequently. It is our opinion that this claim dennet, on a light basis, it approved for payment.

Ascistant General Counsel

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

oc: Subject
Chrono
Legal Decisions

Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070233-4